STAFF REPORT

CONCERNING APPLICATION FOR A

CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Date: 8 May 2018

Application Number: 2018-0416B **Property Location:** 62290 Miami

Architectural Style/Date/Architect or Builder:

Free Classic/1908/George and Elizabeth Schafer Farm

Property Owner:

Randy and Suzie Krill

Landmark or District Designation:

St. Joseph County Local Landmark, ordinance no. 02-81

Rating:

Outstanding

DESCRIPTION OF STRUCTURE/SITE: 2 ½ story, square plan house with pyramidal roof. Foundation is rock-faced cast block. Walls are clapboard. There is a full front wrap around porch with cast block piers and round columns. Windows are 1/1 with projecting cornices/bay window. Doors are full light. On the site is a large bank/basement barn, an English barn, a milk house, a pump house, and a silo.

<u>ALTERATIONS</u>: COA 1988-0822 approved room addition to rear with wrap around deck, landscaping around house and buildings, new driveway, moving historic wrought iron fence, replacing paddock fences.

APPLICATION ITEMS: "Emergency removal of silo."

<u>DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED PROJECT:</u> The foundation of the silo has failed causing the structure to begin collapsing toward the driveway. An unusually high amount of water saturation near the barn and silo is a contributing factor. There is evidence of an attempt to preserve the structure in the past by strapping the silo to the barn. The silo will need to be removed proactively to prevent damage to the barn foundation. As the silo is not being utilized, there is no plan to rebuild. There is a possibility for architectural salvage, including: a lighting rod, wall tiles, and perhaps the roof. The ground will be filled and landscaped accordingly.

SITE VISIT REPORT:

May 7, 2018 This is a follow up to my visit on April 17, 2018. The department administrator, preservation specialist, and myself visited the site and inspected the tile-walled silo. The silo base foundation has failed and the silo has begun to lean and at the time was eight to ten feet out of square. The silo is a separate structure from the barn, but does have a feed room connection that runs to the lower level of the barn. The silo has moved away from the barn and the concrete drive approach. Elements are entering at this separation and is advancing the movement of the silo. Steve Szaday, Preservation Inspection

STANDARDS AND GUIDELINES, GROUP B LOCAL LANDMARKS:

The Commission has the authority to determine the architectural merits and the extent of any proposed treatment, renovation, or addition to a historic landmark. The commission will require drawings, plans, specifications, and/or samples where appropriate.

A. Maintenance

The maintenance of any historical structure or site shall in no way involve any direct physical change except for the general cleaning and upkeep of the landmark. The Commission shall encourage the proper maintenance of all structure or sites.

B. Treatment

Treatment shall be defined as any change of surface materials that will not alter the style or original form. Such improvements include reroofing, glazing, or landscaping lawns and may involve a change that can potentially enhance or detract from the character of the landmark. A treatment change of any surface whether on the landmark or in its environment may require a Certificate of Appropriateness if it significantly alters the appearance of the landmark. Although these kinds of changes may not require a Building Permit, a Certificate of Appropriateness may be necessary. The commission should review the proposed treatment for character and style consistency with the original surfaces.

C. Renovation and Additions

Renovation is the modification of a structure, which does not alter the general massing while an addition, is a change in mass. A modification, which involves the removal of a part of the landmark, should be considered under demolition (see demolition).

Additions to landmarks should not detract from the original form and unity of the landmark and should not cover singular examples of architectural detail. Additions to landmarks should be added in a manner that does not disrupt the visible unity of overall appearance of the site. The proportions, materials and ratios of the existing structures should be carried through in the additions. Care should be taken not to change or alter the following:

- 1. Structure—Necessary structural improvements, where safety demands should be accomplished in such a way as to cause minimal visual change to the original style and construction.
- 2. Material—Additions and improvements involving any new material in the landmark should be of the same material as the original. It should be the same size and texture. An alternative material may be allowed if it duplicates the original.

a. wood—all wood trim should conform with existing trim in shape and size.

b. siding materials—the Commission discourages the covering or alteration of original materials with additional siding. Structures already sided with incompatible materials should be returned to a siding similar to the original when renovation is considered.

D. Demolition

Historic landmarks shall not be demolished. When a landmark poses a threat to the public safety, and demolition is the only alternative, documentation by way of photographs, measured drawings, or other descriptive methods should be made of both the exterior and interior of the landmark. The person or agency responsible for demolition of the landmark shall be responsible for this documentation.

E. Moving

The moving of landmarks is discouraged, however, moving is preferred to demolition. When moving is necessary, the owner of the landmark must apply to the Commission for a Certificate of Appropriateness.

F. Signs

No neon or flashing signs will be permitted unless they are original to the structure. Billboards and super-graphics will also be disallowed. Only one appropriate identifying sign will be permitted per business.

G. Building Site and Landscaping

(These standards apply to both A and B)

1. Required

Major landscaping items, trees, fencing, walkways, private yard lights, signs (house numbers) and benches which reflect the property's history and development shall be retained. Dominant land contours shall be retained. Structures such as: gazebos, patio decks, fixed barbecue pits, swimming pools, tennis courts, green houses, new walls, fountains, fixed garden furniture, trellises, and other similar structures shall be compatible to the historic character of the site and neighborhood and inconspicuous when viewed from a public way.

2. Recommended

New site work should be based upon actual knowledge of the past appearance of the property found in photographs, drawings, and newspapers. Plant materials and trees in close proximity to the building that are causing deterioration to the buildings historic fabric should be removed. However, trees and plant materials that must be removed should be immediately replaced by suitable flora. Front yard areas should not be fenced except in cases where historic documentation would indicate such fencing appropriate. Fencing should be in character with the buildings style, materials, and scale.

3. Prohibited

No changes may be made to the appearance of the site by removing major landscaping items, trees, fencing, walkways, outbuildings, and other elements before evaluating their importance to the property's history and development. Front yard areas shall not be transformed into parking lots nor paved nor blacktopped. The installation of unsightly devices such as TV reception dishes and solar collectors shall not be permitted in areas where they can be viewed from public thoroughfares.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approval of the silo to be dismantled and advocates for reclamation of the lighting rod, wall tiles, and roof, if possible. Per the Standards and Guidelines, staff recommends that owner provide the Commission with comprehensive interior and exterior documentation for the file.

Written by
Elicia Feasel
Historic Preservation Administrator









HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

OF SOUTH BEND AND ST. JOSEPH COUNTY

County—City Building, South Bend, IN 46601 http://www.southbendin.gov/government/department/community-investment

Phone: 574/235.9371 Fax: 574/235.9021 Email: hpcsbsjc@southbendin.gov

Timothy S. Klusczinski, President

A Certified Local Government of the National Park Service

Elicia Feasel, Historic Preservation

Administrator

APPLICATION FOR A — CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

Date Received: WADO 2018 Application Number: 2018
Past Reviews: YES (Date of Last Review) 1998 - ATU922
Staff Approval authorized by:
Historic Preservation Commission Review Date: 5/22/18 Local Landmark Local Historic District (Name)
Local Landmark Local Historic District (Name) National Landmark National Register District (Name)
National Landmark National Register District (Name)
Certificate Of Appropriateness:
Denied Tabled Sent To Committee Approved and issued:
Address of Property for proposed work: 62290 Miani Rd. So. Bend, IN. 4661
Name of Property Owner(s): Conduct Street Number—Street Name—City—Zip) Phone #: 514-299-129
Address of Property Owner(s): 62290 Miami Rd. Go. Bard, IN. Hele 14 (Street Number—Street Name—City—Zip)
Name of Contractor(s): The property of the
Contractor Company Name:
Address of Contractor Company:
(Street Number—Street Name—City—Zip) Current Use of Building: (Single Family—Multi-Family—Commercial—Government—Industrial—Vacant—etc.)
Type of Building Construction: Store possible motal from (Wood Frame—Brick—Stone—Steel—Concrete—Other)
Proposed Work: In-Kind Landscape New Replacement (not in-kind) Demolition (more than one box may be checked)
Description of Proposed Work: Francisco Tensoral & Silo.
Owner e-mail: Zeiger 35@hdmail.cand/or Contractor e-mail:
X Signature of Contractor

By signing this application I agree to abide by all local regulations related to project and to obtain a Building Department Permit, if applicable.