

The Agenda Review Session of the Board of Public Works was convened at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, January 20, 2022, by Board President Elizabeth A. Maradik (virtual), with Board Members Gary Gilot, Jordan Gathers, Joseph Molnar, Murray Miller, and Board Attorney Clara McDaniels (virtual) present. Acting Board of Public Works Clerk, Laura Hensley, presented the Board with a proposed agenda of items presented by the public and by City Staff. Ms. Maradik reviewed the purpose of these meetings. She noted for the record that the agenda session is an informal meeting where staff can present agenda items that have differing or extenuating circumstances, so that the Board is briefed ahead of the regular meeting, and if it is a standard item, staff does not need to present. Also, if Board members should have questions on specific items, the items can be addressed. Ms. Maradik asked that if anyone would like to speak, they use the Teams chat function and they need to specify which item they would like to speak about.

Board members discussed the following items from the agenda.

Election of 2022 Board of Works President

Board member Gary Gilot asked if Ms. Maradik would still be the Board President in 2022 and if the Board could elect to have an official Vice President for when Ms. Maradik is absent from meetings. The Director of Public Works, Eric Horvath, added that it is not atypical to have a Vice President to fill in from time to time. Ms. Maradik asked if this was required of the Board and Attorney McDaniels stated that the Board is not required to have a Vice President roll, but it is also not unusual to have another Board member available to cover or assist leading meetings in times of need. Attorney McDaniels advised the Board that should they want someone to lead during the President's absences, the Board should agree to make it official, and the nomination and appointment of a Vice President should take place at a regular meeting. Attorney McDaniels requested to add this item to the January 25, 2022 meeting and have the agenda adjusted accordingly.

Open Market Contract

Mr. Zach Hurst of Public Works Engineering wanted to present clarification on his Open Market Contract for the Coveleski Stadium Lights project. This was an item that did not receive bids at the January 11, 2022 meeting. Engineering reached out to All Purpose Erectors, Inc., and Navarre Services. He stated the Navarre Services was not able to respond quickly enough to meet the time frame of an April completion date based on new MLB rules for lighting of the field. All Purpose Erectors, Inc. was able to do the work, meet the MWBE goals and the deadline. Mr. Eric Horvath asked if this bid was originally a bid total of \$150,000. Mr. Hurst replied to Mr. Horvath saying that after Engineering quoted the project, two (2) extra poles were added in the outfield, going from eight (8) to ten (10) light polls and that was the difference in cost for the new bid. Board member Murray Miller asked if the City was paying for the difference in costs. Mr. Hurst advised that he would need to refer back on that. Board member Joseph Molnar asked if the cost comes from the revenue the City receives from the professional sports account and Mr. Hurst confirmed that was the case. Mr. Horvath asked Mr. Hurst if he knows where the other increases came from, and if only two polls were added, why did it double the bid price. Mr. Hurst indicated that contractors are still working with the same time frame Public Works originally quoted due to the lights having to be active at home games come the start of the season this coming Spring. For clarification, Mr. Gilot asked if Mr. Hurst was suggesting that the additional cost was partially due to a premium for the acceleration of the contract to which Mr. Hurst stated he would need to confirm that as well as the two (2) extra poles being the main reason for the change in prices. Mr. Hurst stated there was a special purchase last year through VPA for the lights themselves, but the two (2) extra poles would not have been accounted for at that time.

Mr. Miller asked if the company who was originally awarded the quote was going to be doing all the work themselves to which Mr. Hurst advised that All Purpose Erectors, Inc. did not meet their MWBE goals when it was awarded the bid in October of 2021, but informed the board that All Purpose Erectors, Inc. has since met their MWBE goals which can add to the cost of a project. Mr. Horvath asked if on their 2.0 MWBE form they met both the M & W goal and later said they couldn't meet the M portion of the MWBE goal. Mr. Hurst stated All Purpose Erectors, Inc. originally had turned in the quote and did not have a final confirmation so they listed a range of potential dollar amounts to which they could have met; however, they could not get a final confirmation from their subcontractor. Ms. Maradik thanked Zach for the update. Before moving on to the next topic, Mr. Horvath brought to the Board's attention a math error which suggested

)HEUXDU□