SOUTH BEND, INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF THE FORMER TRANSWESTERN BUILDING

NOVEMBER 25, 1988

EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. 1701 NORTH IRONWOOD DRIVE SOUTH BEND, INDIANA 46635

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	PROCEDURES	3
3.0	RESULTS	6

## FIGURE

1.1	SITE LOCATION MAP	2
2.1	SITE PLAN	4
3.1	GROUNDWATER FLOW MAP	9

## TABLE

3.1 ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR VOC	7
------------------------------	---

## APPENDIX

A	LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORTS
В	PID ANALYZER CALIBRATION AND FIELD ANALYSIS RECORDS
С	SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOGS
D	SECTION 5.4 OF THE EIS PID ANALYZER STANDARD OPERATING
	PROCEDURES

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

EIS Environmental Engineers, Inc. (EIS) was retained by the South Bend Department of Economic Development to perform an environmental assessment of the former Transwestern site along Lafayette Blvd. in South Bend, Indiana (See Figure 1.1). The scope of work consisted of collecting and analyzing soil and groundwater samples from three (3) soil borings conducted on or near the former Transwestern site. During the borings, soil samples were collected and screened in the field for the presence of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) using an analyzer equipped with a photoionization detector (PID). One soil sample, which exhibited the highest PID analyzer measurement, was selected for laboratory VOC analysis. A groundwater sample from each boring was collected for laboratory analysis of VOC and heavy metals.



EIS Environmental Engineers, Inc.

#### 2.0 PROCEDURES

Locations of the borings were selected on the basis of expected groundwater flow direction and proximity to the former Transwestern building. Groundwater flow directions in the South Bend area are generally toward the St. Joseph River. Therefore, the expected flow direction at the former Transwestern site would likely be toward the northeast. Three (3) borings were located so as to provide one boring (BH-1) upgradient and two borings (BH-2, BH-3) downgradient with respect to the expected groundwater flow direction (see Figure 2.1).

A CME-75 drill rig equipped with a screened hollow stem auger was used to bore a hole to the water table at each location. As the boring was advanced, soil samples were collected at three foot intervals using an 18 inch (1.5 feet) split-spoon sampler (ASTM Method D-1586). Descriptions of the soil samples were made using a hand lens, grain-size chart, and a standardized color chart. The descriptions were recorded on a subsurface exploration log and are provided in Appendix C. All equipment expected to contact the subsurface soil was washed prior to use at the site. Furthermore, the split-spoon sampler was washed with trisodium phosphate (TSP) and rinsed with deionized water prior to use at each boring location.

-3-



A portion of each split-spoon soil sample was placed into a glass jar, sealed with aluminum foil, and allowed to sit for approximately five (5) minutes. The probe of an HNU PID analyzer was then inserted through the aluminum foil seal to sample the air in the headspace of the jar for the possible presence of VOC. Included in Appendix D is a copy of Section 5.4 of the EIS Standard Operating Procedures for soil vapor analysis. The soil sample which exhibited the highest PID measurement was collected in a 40cc glass vial, placed into an iced cooler and transported back to the EIS laboratory for analysis. This sample was collected from boring BH-2 at a depth of 27.0 to 28.5 feet.

At each boring, an electronic water level indicator (Roctest, Model CRR6) was used to measure the static water level within the hollow stem auger. Groundwater samples for VOC and heavy metals analysis were collected with a Teflon bailer from each boring. Samples for VOC analysis were placed into prepreserved 40cc glass vials, whereas samples for heavy metals analysis were placed into pre-preserved one liter plastic bottles. These samples were placed into an iced cooler and transported to the EIS laboratory for analysis. The bailer, bailer rope and water level indicator were cleaned with TSP and rinsed with deionized water prior to use at each boring.

Upon the completion of each boring, the auger stem was removed and a tremie pipe was used to pressure grout the bore hole with a Bentonite clay mixture to grade.

-5-

#### 3.0 RESULTS

The PID analyzer calibration and field analysis records are included in Appendix B. The PID headspace analysis performed on the soil samples from the borings generally resulted in measurements no greater than background levels suggesting that VOC contamination of the soil was unlikely to be present. The one exception was the soil sample from boring BH-2 at a depth of 27.0'-28.5' below grade. The peak PID analyzer reading for this sample was 6 ppm which was approximately three times greater than the background level. A PID analyzer reading of greater than twice background level is generally considered by EIS to be indicative of the possible presence of VOC contamination. However, the PID analyzer field results are not definitive and laboratory analysis is required to confirm the presence of VOC contamination and to define the specific compounds involved.

The laboratory VOC and metals analysis reports are provided in Appendix A and summarized in Table 3.1. Laboratory analysis did not detect VOC contamination in the soil sample but did detect VOC contamination in the groundwater sample from each boring. Trace amounts of 1,1,1-Trichloroethane, Tetrachloroethylene and Toluene were present in the groundwater sample from boring BH-1, and trace amounts of c-1,2-Dichloroethylene were present in the groundwater sample from boring BH-3.

-6-

## TABLE 3.1

- ----

## ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR VOC

Parameter	C Gro <u></u>	oncentra undwater µg/l - <u>BH-2</u>	BH-3	Soil (ppm) BH-2	USEPA Maximum Contaminant Levels
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	N.D.*	N.D.	4.4	N.D.	N.E.**
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<1	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	200
Tetrachloroethylene	2.7	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.E.
Toluene	1.9	N.D.	N.D.	N.D.	N.E.
Fuel Hydrocarbons	N.D.	760	N.D.	N.D.	N.E.

### ANALYSIS RESULTS FOR HEAVY METALS

	C Gro	oncentration; undwater (mg,	s /l)	National Drinking Water Standards
Parameter	<u>BH-1</u>	BH-2	<u>BH-3</u>	(Primary Standards)
Arsenic	0.01	0.01	<0.01	0.05
Barium	4.6	1.6	1.4	1.0
Cadmium	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.010
Chromium (T)	0.64	0.33	0.30	0.05
Lead	8.6	2.3	2.4	0.05
Mercury	0.025	0.0035	0.0025	0.002
Selenium	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	0.01
Silver	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	0.05

\* N.D. = Not Detected

\*\* N.E. = Not Established

The only detected VOC contamination in the groundwater sample from boring BH-2 was fuel hydrocarbons at a level of 760 parts per billion (ppb). Laboratory analysis detected heavy metal contamination in the groundwater sample from each boring.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has established a maximum contaminant level in drinking water of 200 ppb for 1,1,1-Trichloroethane. The 1,1,1-Trichloroethane detected in the groundwater sample collected from boring BH-1 was below the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) of 1 ppb. There are presently no established EPA maximum contaminant levels for c-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene, Toluene or fuel hydrocarbons. The levels of metals contamination detected in the groundwater sample from each boring exceed the Primary National Drinking Water Standards for Barium, Cadmium, Chromium (T), Lead and Mercury, but do not exceed the standards for Arsenic, Selenium and Silver.

The fuel hydrocarbons observed in the groundwater sample collected from boring BH-2 appear likely to have a source other than the soil in the immediate area of the boring.

The groundwater flow direction, as determined from the static water levels measured in each of the three borings, appears to be approximately S 60° E (see Figure 3.1). This southeasterly flow direction differs approximately ninety degrees from the

-8-



-9-

initially expected northeasterly flow direction and may represent the effect of possible large scale pumping activity in the area. Such large scale pumping activity is referenced in the Indiana Department of Natural Resources, 1987 Publication "Water Resource Availability in the St. Joseph River Basin, Indiana, Water Resource Assessment 87-1". However, it should also be noted that the static water levels in the borings may not have stabilized when the measurements were taken. Consequently, the apparent groundwater flow direction indicated by the static water levels may not be indicative of the actual flow direction. APPENDIX A

## LABORATORY ANALYSIS REPORTS

.



## ANALYTICAL REPORT SHEET

	Pocius						
CLIENT: South Bend 1200 Count South Bend	Dept. of Econ. y-City Bldg.	Dev.	SAMPLE IDENTI	FICATION:			
, bouth benu	, 18 40001		Transwestern	Site			
ANALYSIS NO:	5081H - 5085H		Collected by	EIS (JCS)			
DATE SAMPLED:	09-28-88		9-28-88				
DATE RECEIVED:	09-28-88		EIS Project #1456-01				
DATE FORWARDED:	11-21-88						
Parameter	C Boring 	oncentrat Boring 	ion (mg/l) Boring 	Trip Blank			
Arsenic	0.01	0.01	<0.01	<0.01			
Barium	4.6	1.6	1.4	<0.5			
Cadmium	0.05	0.04	0.04	<0.04			
Chromium, Total	0.64	0.33	0.30	<0.05			
Lead	8.6	2.3	2.4	<0.1			
Mercury	0.025	0.0035	0.0025	<0.0002			
Selenium	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01			
Silver	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05			

Volatile Organic Compounds See Attached Report

Andin Posite LABORATORY DIRECTOR

CHAIN OF CUSTODY RECOR	D – El	SE		IRO	NME	NT	AL E	ENGI	NEERS INC	C	
pect No: Project Name 56-01 Hydrogeologic Study-Tranwestern Site nplers: [Signature] . C. Apprliche	Juanlily ol ontainers	4			x ,0				Remarks	EIS LA ONL I=Int B=Bro	B USE Y act oken
a.No. Date Time of 20 Station Location		/-	Ŷ	19				<u> </u>	./	Sample State	Tape
Blunk 4-28-88 6:30 XI Trip Blanks	2	IXI	XI							50814	<u> </u>
-1 1 19:30 × Bosing #1	13英	XI	XI	\$					No VOC 50il inbuitted (F.C. Apalilu.	50824	Seil
-2 11 12:20 X Boring # 2	14	X	X	$\times$						S0834	£5894H
-3. 11 3:35 X Bosing # 3	3英	X	XI	#		1			No VOC 50: 15ubmited (g. C. Aprilia	1)50954	
4 + + + + + + + Boting # 4	4	IX.I	X	$\times  $	╃━-╀━	-+-	+1		> Sameles from Borns 4 water Not collected, A. C. Porlan	1	•
			1			1				ł	
	1		1	1							
	1		1	1		1	11				
	1	11	1				11				
		$\frac{1}{1}$		1	1 1	Ì	11				
	1		<u>-</u> -		1 1	<u> </u>	$\frac{1}{1}$			11 1	
			<u> </u>		<u>   </u>	- <u> </u>	<u></u>			<u></u>	
			<u> </u>		$\frac{1}{1}$	<u></u>				<u>  </u>	
					<u>   </u> 						
			<u>+</u>	<u> </u>	<u>   </u> 		$\frac{1}{1}$		<u> </u>		
	1		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	Date	ITime	Received by:		
7. C. ARululu 9-28-88 6:00 PM	Re	linqu	lsne	2 Dy.			,				
inquishea by: Date Time Receivea by:	Re	linqu	ISDe	c by:			Date	Time	Received by:		
e of Transportation EIS Venicle Pur	olic Trans	sport	ation								
Ca	rrier:	· · ·							Way or Air Bill No.		

-

.

ŝ

	VOC) ANALYSTS REP	ORT
Mr. K.C. Pocius		
CLIENT: South Bend Department of	Date Reported:	10-26-88
Economic Development	- EIS Lab No.:	5081н - 5085н
1200 County-City Building	Sample Date:	9-28-88
South Bend, IN 46601	Date Received:	9-28-88
<b>P.O. #</b> LOA	Date Analyzed:	10-06-88
Sample ID: Transwestern Site	Samples Receive	d
_	Refrigerated:	Yes 🗸 No
. Groundwater from Bore Holes #1,2,3	In 40 cc Vials	: Yes 📝 No 🔤
. Soil from Bore Hole #2	Air Space:	Yes 🔽 No 🔽

#### RESULTS

o Table 1 presents results of analysis.

SAMPLE RESULTS WILL LIST ONLY THOSE COMPOUNDS WHICH ARE ACTUALLY DETECTED IN THE SAMPLE. If no compounds of interest are detected, the following type of statement is given:

- "No Table 3 Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC) were detected in this sample."
- o Table 2 summarizes test procedures used.
- Table 3 lists the types of compounds which can be detected by the test procedures employed. This table also lists Practical Quantitation Limits (PQL) for each compound in both water and soil samples.
- Additional data which might accompany this report includes chromatograms, Quality Assurance data sheets, Chain-of-Custody forms and allowable contaminant limits (if available). This data is analysis support documentation.
- o The following support data is enclosed.
  - Chromatograms of the analysis
  - National Drinking Water Standards
- o The soil sample exhibited headspace as is normal for this type of sample collection.

Inde

LABORATORY DIRECTOR

# TABLE 1ANALYTICAL RESULTS - VOC

Client Description Groundwater from Bore Holes and Soll						
Sample Type		Analy	ical Mo	ethod <u>6</u>	01 + 602	
				CONCENT		
				-CONCENT	KATION	
	GROUN	DWATERS	(µg/l)		SOIL (ppm a	as received)
			DUPLI	CATES	DUPLI	CATES
PARAMETER	<u>BH-1</u>	<u>BH-2</u>	<u>BH-3</u>	<u>BH-3</u>	BH-2	BH-2
c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	ND	4.4	4.4	ND	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<1	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethylene	2.7	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Toluene	1.9	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
PQL	X1	X1	X1	X1	X1	X1
Fuel Hydrocarbons	ND	760	ND	ND	ND	ND
Moisture (%)	-	-	-	-	16.1	-

#### NOTES:

- 1. ND = Not Detected
- 2.  $\leq$  = Detected but below the PQL
- 3. The Trip Blank exhibited no detectable levels of VOC
- 4. GC/MS confirmation of groundwaters from BH-1 and BH-2 was performed.
- 5. The fuel hydrocarbon in groundwater BH-2 is quantitated as #2 Fuel Oil but not so specifically identified. The GC fingerprint of this species resembles gasoline but no detectable levels of Benzene, Toluene and Xylenes were present. GC/MS showed that compound classes such as alkanes and alkenes were present. Trace levels of naphthalenes were also noted. The probable identity of this fuel hydrocarbon is gasoline.

PQL Discussion: PQL (Detection Limit) varies with individual compounds, the type of sample, laboratory treatment (dilutions) and method of analysis (601 + 602 or 624).

PQL for clean samples is shown in Table 3. The PQL value shown above, expressed as X(#), is the value by which the Table 3 PQL is to be multiplied in order to determine the "Detection Limit" for individual compounds for the sample in question.

Revised (10-11-88)

#### TABLE 2

#### REFERENCE METHODS/ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

#### REFERENCES

- "Test Methods: Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater", USEPA-600/4-82-057, July 1982, Methods 601, 602, 624
- "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods", SW-846, Third Edition, November 1986

#### ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

VOC of interest are liberated from the matrix by use of Purge and Trap procedures. Surrogate compounds are employed for each Purge and Trap run.

The effluent from the gas chromatograph is monitored by Photoionization and Hall 700A Electrolytic Conductivity Detectors operating in series. Component separation is achieved by a SPB-1 capillary column, 60m x 0.75 mm I.D.

In certain situations, confirmation of the sample response is performed by use of Mass Spectrometry.

Analysis Method Numbers are different in different reference manuals even though the procedures used are essentially the same. With respect to this analysis, Method Numbers are referenced to "Test Methods: Methods For Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater", USEPA 600/4-82-057, July 1982. The samples reported herein were analyzed by the following methods:

GC/Hall/PID (Method 601 + 602) X

GC/MS (Method 624)

#### SUPPORTING DATA

If GC tracings or GC/MS data is supplied with this report, surrogate/internal standards are identified by the letters (S) and/or (IS).

#### TABLE 3

### PARTIAL LISTING - VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS DETECTABLE BY PROCEDURES LISTED IN TABLE 2 AND PRACTICAL QUANTITATION LIMITS (PQL)

		Practical Quantitation Limits (PQL)					
		Water (µ	g/l)	Soils (pp	m)		
	Compound Name	601 + 602	624	601 + 602	624		
	Acetone	10	10	0.5	0.5		
	Acrolein		10		0.5		
	Acrylonitrile		10		0.5		
	Benzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Bromodichloromethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Bromoform	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Bromomethane	1	10	0.05	0.5		
	Carbon Disulfide	-		0.02	0 25		
	Carbon Tetrachloride	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Chlorohenzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Chloroethane	1	10	0.05	0.20		
	2 Chloroethylyinyl Ether	10	5	0.05	0.25		
	Chloroform	10	5	0.05	0.25		
	Chloromothene	1	10	0.05	0.20		
	Dibromochloromothono	1	10	0.05	0.5		
I	1.2 Dibromosthere	1	5	0.05	0.25		
		1	5	0.05	0.25		
		1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1, 3-Dichlorobenzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,4-Dichlorobenzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
ļ	Dichlorodifluoromethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,1-Dichloroethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,2-Dichloroethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,1-Dichloroethylene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	c-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
ł	t-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1,2-Dichloropropane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	c-1,2-Dichloropropene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	t-1,2-Dichloropropene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Ethyl Benzene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	2-Hexanone	10	10	0.5	0.5		
	Iodomethane						
	Methylene Chloride	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Methyl Ethyl Ketone	10	10	0.5	0.5		
	Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	10	10	0.5	0.5		
	Styrene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
l	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Tetrachloroethylene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	Tetrahydrofuran	10	10	0.5	0.5		
	Toluene	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1.1.1-Trichloroethane	1	5	0.05	0.25		
	1.1.2-Trichloroethane	ī	5	0.05	0.25		
	Trichloroethylene	1	5	0.05	0 25		
1	Trichlorofluoromethane	ī	5	0.05	0.23		
	1.2.3-Trichloropropane	1		0.05	0.25		
	Vinvl Acetate	10	10	0.5	0.23		
	Vinyl Chloride	2	ŤŠ	0.1	0.25		
	Xylenes	ī	5	0.05	0.25		
	-		-				

In addition, fuel type hydrocarbons such as gasoline, fuel oil and kerosene and industrial mixtures such as naphtha and thinners are also detected by these procedures.

Note: Soil PQL is on an "as received basis". Both water and soil PQL values are for CLEAN samples. PQL increases with sample matrix problems.

## EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA SHEET VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

QC	Descr	iption:	Surrogate Recovery
EIS	Lab	Numbers:	5081H - 5085H

Date Analyzed: 10-6-88

:

	1		RECO	OVERY DAT	ra *		
Client Sample		Method (	1	Method 624			
Description	<u></u>	<u>S2</u>	<u>S3</u>	<u></u> \$4	<u></u>	<u>S2</u>	<u>S3</u>
GW B-1	101	98	97	102			
GW B-2	95	107	*	113			
GW B-3	95	105	97	103			
Soil B-2	98	109	100	105			
Trip Blank	94	88	100	93			

# \* SURROGATE COMPOUND DESCRIPTIONS AND QUALITY CONTROL LIMITS

Compound #	METHOD 601 + 602 Compound Name	QC Limits
S1	1-Bromo-2-chloroethane	70 - 130
52	1.4-Dichlorobutane	70 - 130
53	Toluene, d6	70 - 130
S4	1,9-Decadiene	70 - 130
	METHOD 624	
Compound #	Compound Name	QC Limits
<u>S1</u>	1,2-Dichloroethane,d4	70 - 130
S2	Toluene, d6	70 - 130
<b>S</b> 3	Bromofluorobenzene	70 - 130
Rev (06-13-88)		

Page 1 of 2

## EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA SHEET VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

QC Description:	Check Standard
Client Sample Group:	Transwestern Site
EIS Lab Numbers:	5081H - 5085H
Date Analyzed:	10-6-88

Analysis Method: <u>601 + 602</u> Concentration Units: <u>µg/1</u>

## SURROGATE RESPONSES

EPA Methods 601 + 6	502	EPA Method 624		QA/QC
Compound Name	% Rec	Compound Name	% Rec	Limits
1-Bromo-2-chloroethane	111	1,2-Dichloroethane,d4		70-130
1,4-Dichlorobutane	112	Toluene,d6		70-130
Toluene,d6	98	Bromofluorobenzene		70-130
1,9-Decadiene	118			70-130

## SAMPLE RESULTS

Parameter	Concent True	tration Found	Recovery <u>%</u>	624 Respondent	nse Facto Found	ors (RF) <u>% RSD</u>
Acetone	56.2	58.0	103			
Acrolein						
Acrylonitrile						
Benzene	10.8	11.3	105			
Bromoform **	15.6	12.0	77	0.175		
Bromodichloromethane						
Bromomethane						
Carbon Disulfide						
Carbon Tetrachloride	9.8	8.7	89			
Chlorobenzene **	12.3	11.8	96	1.324		
Chlorodibromomethane	12.1	11.0	91			
Chloroethane						
2-Chloroethylvinylether						
Chloroform *	9.5	11.2	118	7.525		
1-Chlorohexan						
Chloromethane **						
2-Chlorotoluene						
4-Chlorotoluene						
1,2-Dibromoethane						
Dibromomethane						
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	13.0	13.8	106			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	21.2	18.6	88			
1,4-bichloro-2-butane						
Dichlorodifluoromethane						
1,1-Dichloroethane **	9.1	9.6	105	6.852		
1,2-Dichloroethane	7.5	7.4	99			
1,1-Dichloroethene *				3.874		
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	9.8	8.4	86	•		
t-1,2-Dichloroethene						

## EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA SHEET VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS Check Standard Continuation

	Concentration		Recovery	624 Response Factors (RF)		
Parameter	True	Found	%	Initial	Found	% RSD
1,2-Dichloropropane *	10.3	10.5	102	0.408		
1,3-Dichloropropane						
c-1,2-Dichloropropene						
t-1,2-Dichloropropene						
Diethyl Ether						
Ethylbenzene *	10.7	9.7	91	2.548		
Ethyl Methacrylate						
2-Hexanone	42.0	41.1	98			
Iodomethane						
Methylene Chloride	10.4	11.7	113			
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	38.6	35.8	93			
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	37.8	30.5	81			
Methyl Methacrylate						
Paraldehyde						
Styrene	11.7	11.7	100			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane**				0.403		
Tetrachloroethylene	11.2	10.1	90			
Tetrahydrofuran	71.8	56.3	78			
Toluene *	10.7	9.8	92	2.372		
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	12.6	12.6	100			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	11.8	11.2	95			
Trichloroethylene	10.2	9.3	91			
Trichlorofluoromethane						
1,2,3-Trichloropropane						
1,1,2-Trichlorotrilfluoroethane						
Vinyl Acetate						
Vinyl Chloride *				0.945		
m-Xylene						
o-Xylene	11.1	11.0	99			
p-Xylene	10.8	10.5	97			

## QA/QC Interpretation

,

- \* Calibration Check Compound (CCC) with maximum % RSD = 30%
- \*\* System Performance Check Compound (SPCC) with minimum RF = 0.3 (0.25 for Bromoform)

## EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA SHEET VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS

QC Description:Duplicate Matrix SpikeClient Sample Group:Transwestern SiteEIS Lab Numbers:5081H-5085HDate Analyzed:10-6-88

### SURROGATE RESPONSES

EPA Methods 601 +	602	EPA Method 624	QA/QC	
Compound Name	% Rec	Compound Name	% Rec	Limits
1-Bromo-2-chloroethane	97/97	1,2-Dichloroethane,d4		70-130
1,4-Dichlorobutane	106/109	Toluene,d6		70-130
Toluene,d6	100/98	Bromofluorobenzene		70-130
1,9-Decadiene	90/90			70-130

#### SAMPLE RESULTS

	0	De el	3		Rec	overy
Parameter	Level	ground	Amount R #1	<u>ecovered</u> <u>#2</u>	ل R	ata <u>RPD</u>
Acetone						
Acrolein						
Acrylonitrile						
Benzene						
Bromoform	17.3		12.1	13.4	74	10
Bromodichloromethane						
Bromomethane						
Carbon Disulfide						
Carbon Tetrachloride						
Chlorobenzene						
Chlorodibromomethane						
Chloroethane						
2-Chloroethylvinylether						
Chloromothana						
A-Chlorotoluene						
1 2-Dichlorobenzene						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene						
1.4-Dichlorobenzene						
1,4-Bichloro-2-butane						
Dichlorodifluoromethane						
1,1-Dichloroethane						
1,2-Dichloroethane						
1,1-Dichloroethene	16.9	-	19.6	20.6	119	5
c-1,2-Dichloroethene	17.3	-	18.4	18.9	108	3
t-1,2-Dichloroethene						

Page 2 of 2

EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA SHEET VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS Duplicate Matrix Spike Continuation

	Snike	Back-	Amount	Recovered	Reco	overy
Parameter	Level	ground	#1	#2	8R	RPD
1,2-Dichloropropane 1-3,Dichloropropane c-1,2-Dichloropropene t-1,2-Dichloropropene Diethyl Ether Ethylbenzene Ethyl Methacrylate 2-Hexanone Iodomethane Methylene Chloride Methyl Ethyl Ketone Methyl Isobutyl Ketone Paraldehyde Styrene 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane						
Tetrachloroethylene Tetrahydrofuran	16.9	-	16.6	18.4	104	10
Toluene 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 1,1,2-Trichloroethane Trichloroethylene Trichlorofluoromethane 1,2,3-Trichloropropane 1,1,2-Trichlorotril- fluoroethane Vinyl Acetate Vinyl Acetate Vinyl Chloride m-Xylene o-Xylene p-Xylene	16.8 17.1	-	14.9 15.5	15.4 15.5	90 91	3 0

Rev (10-13-88)

## NATIONAL DRINKING WATER STANDARDS

Deremeter	(mg/l Primary Standards (Health	Concentration unless otherwis Secondary Standards (Aesthetic	e shown) Recommended Limits Other
Arsenic Barium Cadmium Chromium (T) Lead Mercury Selenium Silver Fluoride Nitrate (as Nitrogen) Endrin Lindane Methoxychlor Toxaphene 2,4-D Silvex Tot.Coliform (Colonies/100ml) Trihalomethanes *	0.05 1.0 0.010 0.05 0.05 0.002 0.01 0.05 4 10 0.0002 0.004 0.1 0.005 0.1 0.01 0 0.1	2	Substances
Chloride Copper Detergents (foaming Agents) Iron Manganese pH (pH units) Sulfate Total Dissolved Solids Zinc		$250 \\ 1 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.05 \\ 6.5 - 8.5 \\ 250 \\ 500 \\ 5$	
Benzene Vinyl Chloride Carbon Tetrachloride 1,2-Dichloroethane Trichloroethylene 1,1-Dichloroethylene 1,1,1-Trichloroethane 1,4-Dichlorobenzene			0.005 0.002 0.005 0.005 0.005 0.007 0.20 0.075

\* Chloroform, Chlorodibromomethane, Bromodichloromethane, Bromoform

## S.B.DEPT. of ECON.DEV. VS AROMATIC VOC

Chrom	atogram	Data File	Sample Name	Start Time (min)	Stop Time (min)	Scale Range (mV)	Scale Offset (HU)	
1 2 3 4 5 6		WP1053 WP1046 WP1051 WP1047 WP1048 WP1050	5081H (5n1) 510 092388 (L2) 5082H (5n1) 5083H (5n1) 5085H (5n1) 5085H (5n1) LAB	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	4 -4 -4 -4 -4 -4	
10.0		TRip Blank (DI) +Surrogates	Aromatic STD ~10 ppb	B		B-2-4	B-3	GRound Waters B-3 Dualizate
00 20								98/35/9
.00								~~~~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~
30.00			-					

## S.B.DEPT. of ECON.DEV.SOIL VS AROMATIC

Chronatogram	Data file	Sample Hame	Start Tine (nin)	Stop Time (min)	Scale Range (nV)	Scale Offset (nU)
]	UPID29	MB (1/50+SUR)	D. 00	40.00	4	-4
2	UP1025	STD 092388 (L2)	0,00	40.00	4	-4
3	VPID33	5004H (1/50)	0.00	40.00	4	-4
4	VPID36	5084H (1750) LAB	0.00	40.00	4	-4
5	UP1037	FUELOIL SID	9.00	40.00	4	-1





## S.B.DEPT.of ECON.DEV.SOIL VS CHLORINATED

# S.B.DEPT. of ECON.DEV. VS CHLORINATED VOC

.

.

\_\_\_\_

$\frac{1}{2}  (WEDS) \\ \frac{1}{2}  (WEDS) \\ \frac{1}{3}  ($	Chronatogran	Data File	Sample Name	Start line (nin)	Stop Time (min)	Scale Range (mU)	Scale Offset (nV)	
$\begin{array}{c c} & \mathcal{R}_{ound-hundreds} & 9/z \theta / s \\ & \mathcal{B}_{-3} \\ \mathcal{B}_{$	1 2 3 4 5 6	VHED53 VHED56 VHED51 VHED47 VHED49 VHED50	5081H (5ml) STD 092388 (L2) 5082H (5ml) 5083H (5ml) 5085H (5ml) 5085H (5ml) LAB	0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00 0,00	40,00 40,00 40,00 40,00 40,00 40,00 40,00	65 65 65 65 65 65	11 11 11 11 11	
	10.00 20.00 30.00	TRip Blank 5 5 LOZ) + SurRegates	ChildRinated STD ~ 10ppb				Β-3	GRoundwaters 9/28/28 5 B-3 5 Duplicate 5 5











## APPENDIX B

\_\_\_\_

----

1

# PID ANALYZER CALIBRATION AND FIELD ANALYSIS RECORDS



Page / of

## SOIL VAPOR FIELD ANALYSIS RECORD

PROJECT NAME Hydrogeologic Study-Transwestern Sit PROJECT NUMBER 1456-01 LOCATION AND PURPOSE OF SURVEY Transwestern Site, South Bend, IN. Three Four Soil borings, head-space analysis with PID, 1 Soil Voc.

ANALY	ZER/LAM	p <u>HNV</u>	(, 11.7	eV lamp.	DA'	re <u>9</u> -	28-88	
Site No.	Sample Type*	Sample Depth	Scale Peak	Readings Steady	HNU Range	PPM **	Time	Location/Comments
B-1	AA-B	NA	0.4	0.4	0-70	0.4	7=15Am	At Boring # 2 (Background Defined os 2)
11	55-HS	0-1.5	0.4	Or4	0-20		7:35	
15		3.0-4.5	0,4	0.3	0-70		7:45An	VOC Soil Sample collected.
(/	ЛА-В	NA	0.4	0.4	0-20	0.4	7:55 Ar	Air & 10 feat from bore.
17	95-HS	6.0-7.9	0.4	0.4	0-20		8:15 Am	
υ,	11	9-10.5	0.4	0.4	0-20		8=70Am	
11	t )	12 13.5	0.4	0.4	0-20		8:25 Am	
l,	η ι	15-16-5	0.4	0.4	0-20		8:30	
11	11	18-19.5	·0. Y	0.4	0-70		8:35	
17	АД-В	ŇA	0.4	0.4	0-20	0.4	8:45	
11	5 <b>9</b> -HS	21-27-5	0.4	0.4	0-70		8:40	
11	11	24-25.5	0.4	0.4	0-20		8:50	
17	) (	77-78.5	0-4	0.4	0-70		8:55	Water @ 28.0'
× 1	۱۱	30-31.5	0.4	0.4	0-70		9:00	
11	AA-B	NA	0.4	0.4	0-20	0.4	9:05	
<u>B-2</u>	AA-B	NA	0.4	0.4	0-20	0.4	11:00	B-2 (NE Corner of Transversion Elen
11	55 HS	0-1.5	0.4	0.4	0-20		11:00	

\* Sample Type = HP (Hole Punch) HA (Hand Auger) AA (Ambient Air)
SS(Split-spoon) HS (Head Space - include minutes sitting i.e. HS-5)
B (Background)

Comments: Inseather: overcast, windy, 2.55% ?:25 ton. PID measurement tood approximately Sminute dite alter the ad enoung with April

\*\* ppm as Isobutylene unless otherwise specified

2 of Page J.C. Sporleder

SOIL VAPOR FIELD ANALYSIS RECORD

Site	Sample	Sample	Scale	Readings	нии	PPM		
No.	Type*	Depth	Peak	Steady	Range	**	Time	Location/Comments
Ŗ-2	55-HS	3-4.5	0.4	0.4	0-70		11=10	B-Z (continued).
11	11	6-7.5	0.4	0.4	17		11:15	
<u>(</u> 1	<b>,</b> J	9-10.5	0.4	0.4	Ţ1		11=70	
11	11	12-13.5	0.4	0.4	U		11:25	Collected VOC Soil Sample.
11	11	15-16.5	0.4	0.4	1,		11:30	
11	17	18-195	0.4	0.4	1 '		11:35	
11	A A-B	NA	0.4	0.4	17	0.4	11:37	
• •	55-HS	21-27.5	0.4	0.4	1 I		11:40	
11	11	74-75.5	0.9	0.4	11		11:45	VOC Soil Collected.
17	١,	77-78.5	6.0	0.6	12		11=55	VOC Soil collocted. A (Higher of B-2 complex culmittee
FL	A A-B	NA	0.5	0.5	1'	0.5	.12:05	
3-3	AA-B	NA	0.8	0.4	0+20	0.4-0.8 Defined=0.8	2=10	Borning 3, East side (Plus)
1) I	55-45	0-1.5	0.5	0.4	1,		Z=10	
ı(	17	3-4.5	0.4	0.3	t i		Z= S	
10	1	6-7.5	0.4	0.4	11		2:20	
11	11	7-10.5	D. Y	0.4	11		2:25	
()	(,	12-13.5	0-4	0.4	11		7:30	······································
11	AA-B	NA	0.4	0.4	1	0.4	Z: 35	
ti -	56-HS	15-16.5	0-4	0.4	11		7:35	
<u>, ,  </u>	1.	18-19.5	0.4	0.4	11		7= 50	
v	(7	21-22.5	0.4	<u>D4</u>	(1		7:55	

\* Sample Type = HP (Hole Punch) HA (Hand Auger) AA (Ambient Air) SS(Split-spoon) HS (Head Space - include minutes sitting i.e. HS-5) B (Background)

Page 3 of \_\_\_\_\_

SOIL VAPOR FIELD ANALYSIS RECORD

Sito	Sample	Sample	Scale	Readings	нын	ррм		
No.	Type*	Depth	Peak	Steady	Range	**	Time	Location/Commen
B-3	\$5-HS	74-25.5	0.4	0.4	D-70		3:00	Boring 3 (Continued)
1/	17	27-28.5	0.4	0.4	1)		3=10	Collected VOC Seil Sumple
10	A A-B	WA	0.4	0.4	\/	0.4	3:15	
						_ <del></del>		
						·		
		·						
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		······	L					
							 	-
							· , ·	

\* Sample Type = HP (Hole Punch) HA (Hand Auger) AA (Ambient Air) SS(Split-spoon) HS (Head Space - include minutes sitting i.e. HS-5) B (Background)



# PHOTOIONIZATION ANALYZER



COMMENTS:

APPENDIX C

-----

- -- - - -

•

# SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOGS

<b>Boring No.</b> $\frac{B-1}{Sheet}$ Sheet 1 of 2 Project No. 1456-01
SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG
Client South Bend Dept of Economic Par Site Location Transwestern Site
Logged By J.C. Sporledes Date Started <u>9-28-88</u> Date Completed
Boring Location B-1, See Site Map Hammer Wt. 140 lbs
Boring Method HOLLOW STEM AUGER Drop Distance 30"
Sampler Type SPLIT SPOON Sampler Size 18"
Datum Surface Elevation
GROUNDWATER DEPTH: While Drilling $\frac{78.0'}{1000}$ Ft. At Completion $\frac{78}{1000}$ Ft.
After Completion 1/2 Hrs. Ft; Hrs. Ft; Hrs. Ft; Hrs. Ft.
Sample Data Interval Log Soil Description
No. From To Inches Blows/6" From To At Suffrage Control of

	NO.	From	То	Recov.	Blows/6"	From O	То 	At Surface: Gravel & rinder Slag Circle diam.
	1	Ü	1.5	15	89-5			2" +01": Silty Loam with much (= 3000)
	2	3.0	4.5	18	3-3-4			Black Purlicles of churched curlet - C Ang, (15 - Sent-Size,
	3	6.0	7.5	13	2-2-1	1	2	Loam a 4000 Nod Sand Lenande
	4	7.0	10.5	13	3-7-9			Sitt. it intercontroposits Part Yellowigh brows, finalle
	5:-	12.0	13.5	18	1-1-2	2	3	Clabrus Sand: 2700 med. Smill Rd
	6	15.0	16.5	13	26-8			Cohesive as claim
T	7	18.0	19.5	16	7-13-17	3	9	Sand: & 70% med id
	8	71.0	22.5	18	4-10-9			25 to fine, rd.
	9	24,0	25.5	18	4-7-16			Non coherino
	10	27.0	2 <b>8.</b> 5	15	3-7-13			Moderate Vellowishin own 10YR 3/4
	<u>11</u>	30.0	31.5	8"	3-7-11			Trace gravel ( 6.0, L7cm dian Coarse sand 20 increases with depth
								to about 40's crs. rd. ~ 50% med, rd
						9	22	Moist.
							6.6	2and: Med. 11 2: 80% Cis rd: 2: 15%
<i>.</i>		- <u></u>						fin the Star Trace gravel
		<u> </u>	L					UNSEY YEROW SI 194 Continues



Boring N	10.	<u>B-</u>	1
Sheet	2	of	2
Project	No.	14:	56-01

<

## SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG CONT'D

		Samp	le Data		Inte	erval	Log	Soil Description
No.	From	To	Inches	Blows/6"	From	То		(Continuel from Page 1)
			Recov.		9	22		Color change @ ~ 12'= to mad. yellowish Brown 10/15/4.
								1" (IS sund lense @ 15.2"
								Color change (Wa 15 to Dusky Vellow
								(rs Sand 70 increases to about
								25 % ~ 18"
<u> </u>			-					med soul & 75 %
				· · ·	22	31.5		Med Sand, well souted
						End & Bore		Fine Sound a 5%
						F		Non cohesive, dry. brown
								10 YR 5/4
	•						:	Wet @ 28 0'
				-				Trace gravel, - Immedian
								Last split-spine @ 30-315'
					, , ,			
								End of Boring (a) 31.5
								Boring was pressure growted
								Bontonite (Volclay) initiator Grout.
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
								model for GW VOC q metals
								Tion ander (a 1 - 50 pr)
							<u> </u>	

EIS ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS. INC. + 1701 North Ironwood Drive + South Bend, Indiana 46635 + 219/277-5715

_	~	$\sim$						
			5)					Boring No. $B-2$ Sheet 1 of Project No. 1456-01
				SUB	SURFACE	EXPLORA	101TA	N LOG
ion	. 5	outh	Boud De	ot of Ecenou	ic Pev. s	ite Loca	ition	n Transwestern site
ogge	d By	J.	C. 4	Sporteder	D	ate Star	ted	<u>9-28-88</u> Date Completed
orin	g Loc	ation	B-2 5	ee site map	, н	ammer W	t	140 lbs
orin	g Met	hod _	HOLLOW	STEM AUGE	R D	rop Dis	tanc	e <u>30"</u>
ampl	er Ty	pe -	SPLIT S	POON	` S	ampler	Size	18"
- atum					S	urface	Elev	ation
Roun	IDVATE	R DEE	TH: Whi	le Drilli	.ng _ 7	7 '	Ft.	At Completion <u>76.5</u> Ft.
Eter	. Comt	letio	on 0,5	Hrs. 26.5 F	't;	Hrs	_Ft;	HrsFt;HrsFt.
					•		{	<u>.</u>
No.	From	Samp] To	le Data Inches	Blows/6"	From	To	rog	Top Soil & 1" @ Top. Plack, rods.
			Recov.		0	3.2		Sandy Loam: Med sid said 5.80 %.
1	Ü	1.5	14	4.9.7				Cohesino to Scricohrsivo In De Jo Provin SVR 4/4
2	3.0	4.5	18	2-3-3	3.2	9.3	_	Sand: Med. rd a 90%
3	6.0	7.5	18	2-2-2	• .			file ref & 5 to Cisid a 5 to
4	9.0	10,5	15	2-3-4				Mais, Moderate yellowish Brown
5	n.0	13.5	14	3-9-6				Fine sand & 759 fran 6.0 to 6.3
6	15.0	16.5	14	3-8-11				(Mrd. 11 72 70", (15 72 5") moist.
7	18.0	19.5	15	3-7-11	9.3	24.0		Sand: Meda Cis. Med a Zomo
8	21.0	77.5	8	4.9-13	- ·			(1) 5 2 2 pro
		1.00	112	4-8-11				molende Vellouige incom
9	74.0	75.5	10	1			1	( Mise Sund loren 7" Thirdy (a 10. C
9 10	74.0 77.0	75.5 78. <sup>5</sup>	18	4-8-11				Color change 6 21 to Pusky Vellow
9 10 :	74.0 77.0	75.5 78.5	18	4-8-11	74.0	28.5 End of		Colur change 6 21 to Pusky Vellow Sand. Med. Woll sorted Id = 9500
9	74.0	75, 5 78, <sup>c</sup>	18	4-8-11	74.0	28.5 End of Bostiny		Colur change & 21 to Pusky Vellow Send. Med. Well sorted Td = 9500 Fine, 102 500 Moiet Ducky Vellow
9	74.0	75.5	18	4-8-11	74.0	28.5 End of Bostiny		Colur change (21' to Pusky Vellow Send. Med. Well sould The 10 2 500 Fine 10 2 500 Moist. Dusky Yellow SY(6/4 Water (270)
9	74.0	75.5 79. <sup>6</sup>	18	4-8-11	74.0	28.5 End of Bostiny		Colur change 6 21 to Pusky Vellow Sand. Med. Well sorth id = 9500 Fine 102 500 Moist. Dusky Yellow 54(6/4 Water @ 27.0 End of Berning (c) 28.5 Grandel (Furgue) with Entanders under

Boring No.	<u>β-3</u>
Sheet	of
Project No.	1456-01

. .

# SUBSURFACE EXPLORATION LOG

Si(S

e

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Client South Bend Dept. of Economic Dev.	site Location Transwestern Site
Logged By J.C. Sporteder	Date Started <u>9-28-88</u> Date Completed
Boring Location <u>B-3</u> , See Site Map	Hammer Wt. <u>140 lbs</u>
Boring Method HOLLOW STEM AUGER	Drop Distance _30"
Sampler Type SPLIT SPOON	Sampler Size <u>18"</u>
Datum	Surface Elevation
GROUNDWATER DEPTH: While Drilling	28 Ft. At Completion 28.5 Ft.
After Completion $0.5$ Hrs. 28.5Ft:	Hrs. Ft; Hrs. Ft; Hrs. Ft.

			Samp]	le Data		Inte	rval	Log	Soil Description
	No.	From	To	Inches	Blows/6"	From	To C.S		Top Soil, with Plant roots, Gry Deck U-2
	<del></del>			Aecuv.		0.5	1.5		Crushed Cinfer's, Coal, Trace gravel
	1	Ü	1.5	15	3-10-10		2	-	place No-1, Noncomment
	2	3.0	4.5	18	2-3-4	いろ	2.0		Zanay Llay: Med to the sand = 3500 claure 6500 - vient
	3	6.0	7.5	18	2-4-3				Cohesive, Moderate Blown SIN 914
	4	9.0	10.5	18	7-4-5	3.D	28.5 Last J		Sand: Med, rd = 95%
	5	12.0	13.5	18	7-5-8		split spoor End of		CTS Sand & 2.5%
·	6	15.0	16.5	18	3-7-10		80re 230'.		Light Brown > 1 K 3/6 Conser Sand Lance 2" thick a 28
	7	18.0	19.5	18	4-8-9				Color change @ 6 to Mod. Yellowish Brown
	8	21.0	77,5	15	2-5-6				Nor cohesive, dry
	9	74.0	25.5	15	1-4-7				Color charge (29' to Dusky I dlow Sy 6/4 Two 7 mm Thick Black Advised for
	10	77.0	78.5	18	3-7-13				coal stringing) @ 10'.
		·,							Moist & 16. (CIS sand increases to ~ 1000 18')
					•				$\left  \begin{array}{c} mcd \ rd \approx 85^{70} \\ smell \ graved \approx 590 \end{array} \right $
						1			Zmin Thick coal stringer at 22 Wet @ 78'.
	• •	· <u> </u>	1		~	]	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Last Split-spoon is 27-28.5. Augor advanced to 30' to provide prough water
		  ; /	1						To Sample. Pressure outed with Bentonite/initiator Pressure Dipo
		1745 C				1			Sampled Groundwants in anger for VOC ; movels

APPENDIX D

# SECTION 5.4 OF THE EIS PID ANALYZER STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES

### 5.4 Headspace Soil Vapor Monitoring Method

Once the split-spoon/hand auger sample is collected from the bore hole, part of the sample is used to monitor the soil vapors from the boring.

Using a clean stainless steel spatula portions of the splitspoon/hand auger sample are collected into a glass jar. Aluminum foil is used to seal the jar. The samples are then warmed for a predetermined amount of time, then headspace analysis is performed. The aluminum foil is punctured with the tip of the PID instrument and the soil vapors measured.

When recording the headspace results, always indicate the minutes used to equilibrate the soil inside the jar prior to reading (per instructions on the soil vapor field analysis record sheet).

Temperature conditions to which all jars are exposed should be approximately the same during the course of the day. These conditions are to be annotated in the Comments section of the field sheet.

